

T.E. LAWSUIT SHOULD HAVE BEEN SETTLED BY NOW

Section 119.11(1), F.S., mandates that actions brought under Ch. 119 are entitled to an immediate hearing and take priority over other pending cases. See *Salvador v. Fennelly*, 593 So. 2d 1091 (Fla. 4th DCA 1992) (the early hearings provision reflects a legislative recognition of the importance of time in public records cases; such hearings must be given priority over more routine matters, and a good faith effort must be made to accommodate the legislative desire that an immediate hearing be held). Expedited review of denials of access to judicial records or to the records of judicial agencies shall be provided through an action for mandamus, or other appropriate appellate remedy. Rule 2.420(e), Fla. R. Jud. Admin. Cf. s. 119.07(9), F.S. (s. 119.07, F.S., may not be used by an inmate as the basis for failing to timely litigate any postconviction action). And see *Woodfaulk v. State*, 935 So. 2d 1225 (Fla. 5th DCA 2006) (s. 119.11, F.S., does not place specific requirements on a party requesting public records to obtain an accelerated hearing except the filing of an action to enforce the public records law).