

June 9, 2010

Dear Mr. Angerame;

Normally I bore quickly in reading the distortions and lies of elected "leaders" but since the Chair now accuses me of extortion, I must provide riposte. By the bye, I'm sure you tire of reading comments between the Chair and I, passed through you, but since she chooses not to copy me, it seems my only venue. I always copy those whom I mention in correspondence...it's just good form. Otherwise one might be accused of going behind one's back.

So, let's look at the observables:

- The – he said, she said meeting, which I initiated with the Chair in January 2009 was after a year of my working with the Web People to develop, test and refine the site. All this was done at my expense for the software and domain name costs with no claim for recompense. The site had been up and running for months and in use by the Board through the Web Site Committee. My only function at that point was ongoing technical support. Had I had any interest in payment, I surely would have raised it prior to my 01/09 meeting with the Chair. In January 2008 the Board approved ~\$2000.00 for Web Site costs and equipment with no item mentioned to reimburse me. That would have been the time for my claim had I had an interest in \$, not one year later.
- Clearly the Chair had an interest in continuing the Web Site. Otherwise – who cares if the Site was blocked? The Web Site Committee was dissolved, R.I.P. Also, She says so in the Jan. 5 meeting "...the board will keep the site up-to-date." She had simply not thought the situation through from a site security perspective and had no plan. The Chair can perhaps be excused since she is obviously ignorant in these matters; however she is not excused from making libelous and salacious accusations towards a "self proclaimed" expert in the field.
- Notice that the Chair has never said or implied that she or any other Board Member ever approached me to discuss the frozen site. My name and contact # were on the site at this time.
- As to the extortion charge, I include an excerpt from the January 19, 2009 official Board Minutes:
 - Dan Smith, 6907 Tarpon Lane: He stated that he designed the software for the Web site. Trailer Estates needed an Internet presence. He stated that the board never chose to adopt and accept the site. In January 2009, he dissolved the Web site; it was vulnerable. He put a lock on it to protect the board. He met with Martha and offered it to the board as well as the training. Until the board resolves it, he has moved it to www.trailerestates.org. He thinks Joe is doing a good job and Martha is doing a good job. If the board would like his Web site, he will do it.

(Note: I did not say I dissolved the Web Site. It in fact remains intact and functioning quite well at: www.trailerestates.org. I simply froze it. The last phrase "...he will do it." is inaccurate. I said I would give the Board the Site, train their people in its use and remain on

for any technical support necessary. I had, after all, trained the dissolved Web Site Committee personnel in its use.)

- Note the timing: Jan. 5 she dissolves the Web Site Committee. Afterwards, I meet with the Chair to offer it to her with support (for FREE). At that meeting she says she had contracted with someone to build a Web Site. The implication to me was that she had hired a company to do the work. It turns out that she got another volunteer in TE to do it. I, again offer the Web Site, for free with support at the very next Board Meeting. So, between these consecutive meetings, the Chair went behind the Board's back and over their heads to unilaterally discard the Web Site and dissolve the group and engage others in starting from scratch. After a year of trying, the new site still doesn't work right! Why dissolve a working Committee and Web Site to begin another with a cloaked de facto committee? Makes me wonder!!
- The Chair chooses the term "expired" when referring to the dissolved Web Site Committee. While it is true that non-standing committees expire each year, which usually allows the board to reappoint new people to their positions (politics being what it is). In addition, if a committee's value or use is no longer needed it can be eliminated. In this case, the Chair acknowledges a desire for a Web Site, thus a value/usefulness. There is a need for almost daily maintenance on an active Web Site i.e. people, but she chooses to do it "underground". Thus...she dissolved the committee! Abraham Lincoln asked "if you call a dog's tail a leg, how many legs does a dog have. Answer...4, calling a tail a leg doesn't make it one. She can call it "expired", but it was dissolved. Notice her use of quotes around "expired" ...she knows...
- Simple perusals of the records do reflect that my statements match the observable events of January, 2009.
-

Dan Smith

517-204-1780

smithdpjr@aol.com